An Iron Reservoir to the Catalytic Metal
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Background: An accessory [Fe(Cys)4] center of unknown function is present in 3-hydroxyanthranilate 3,4-dioxygenase (HAO), from single cellular sources but not multicellular sources. Through the population of the two metal binding sites with various metals in bacterial HAO, the structural and functional relationship of the rubredoxin-like site was investigated using kinetic, spectroscopic, crystallographic, and computational approaches. It is shown that the first metal presented preferentially binds to the catalytic site rather than the rubredoxin-like site, which selectively binds iron when the catalytic site is occupied. Furthermore, an iron ion bound to the rubredoxin-like site is readily delivered to an empty catalytic site of metal-free HAO via an intermolecular transfer mechanism. Through the use of metal analysis and catalytic activity measurements, we show that a downstream metabolic intermediate can selectively remove the catalytic iron. As the prokaryotic HAO is often crucial for cell survival, there is a need for ensuring its activity. These results suggest that the rubredoxin-like site is a possible auxiliary iron source to the catalytic center when it is lost during catalysis in a pathway with metabolic intermediates of metal-chelating properties. A spare tire concept is proposed based on this biochemical study, and this concept opens up a potentially new functional paradigm for iron-sulfur centers in iron-dependent enzymes as transient iron binding and shuttling sites to ensure full metal loading of the catalytic site.

Results: An intermolecular iron shuffling from the [Fe(Cys)4] site to the catalytic site is observed.

Conclusion: The rubredoxin-like domain is an iron reservoir for the catalytic site when the catalytic metal becomes stripped during metabolic events.

Significance: An iron shuttling mechanism is proposed for the iron-sulfur center.

The rubredoxin motif is present in over 74,000 protein sequences and 2,000 structures, but few have known functions. A secondary, non-catalytic, rubredoxin-like iron site is conserved in 3-hydroxyanthranilate 3,4-dioxygenase (HAO), from single cellular sources but not multicellular sources. Through the population of the two metal binding sites with various metals in bacterial HAO, the structural and functional relationship of the rubredoxin-like site was investigated using kinetic, spectroscopic, crystallographic, and computational approaches. It is shown that the first metal presented preferentially binds to the catalytic site rather than the rubredoxin-like site, which selectively binds iron when the catalytic site is occupied. Furthermore, an iron ion bound to the rubredoxin-like site is readily delivered to an empty catalytic site of metal-free HAO via an intermolecular transfer mechanism. Through the use of metal analysis and catalytic activity measurements, we show that a downstream metabolic intermediate can selectively remove the catalytic iron. As the prokaryotic HAO is often crucial for cell survival, there is a need for ensuring its activity. These results suggest that the rubredoxin-like site is a possible auxiliary iron source to the catalytic center when it is lost during catalysis in a pathway with metabolic intermediates of metal-chelating properties. A spare tire concept is proposed based on this biochemical study, and this concept opens up a potentially new functional paradigm for iron-sulfur centers in iron-dependent enzymes as transient iron binding and shuttling sites to ensure full metal loading of the catalytic site.

Rubredoxin is a small [Fe(Cys)4]-containing protein typically involved in electron transfer (ET) (1), and since its discovery (2), rubredoxin motifs have been increasingly identified in metalloproteins as either primary or accessory metal-binding sites. In fact, over 74,000 non-redundant protein sequences possess a rubredoxin motif, and a Protein Data Bank survey we conducted revealed more than 2,000 protein structures containing such a mononuclear iron-sulfur center, some contain a bound zinc ion or have an unknown metal identity. Interestingly, some iron-dependent enzymes from single cellular sources, such as superoxide reductase (3) and class III ribonucleotide reductase (4, 5), contain an accessory rubredoxin-like site. The biological functions of the rubredoxin-like site in these enzymes are poorly understood.

3-Hydroxyanthranilate 3,4-dioxygenase (HAO), a non-heme iron(II)-dependent extradiol dioxygenase, catalyzes the oxidative cleavage of the aromatic ring of 3-hydroxyanthranilic acid (3-HAA) by activating molecular oxygen (6). HAO is shared by both the kynurenine pathway in tryptophan metabolism and the prokaryotic 2-nitrobenzoic acid biodegradation pathway (Fig. 1) (7, 8). The first crystal structure determined from Cupriavidus metallidurans (9) reveals that this enzyme belongs to the functionally diverse cupin superfamily (10) and that the catalytic iron is anchored by a 2-His-1-carboxylate facial triad ligand set, (His)2Glu (11). The crystal structures of HAO from...
not possess a similar iron center for the same purpose? In the present work, we investigate the rubredoxin-like center of HAO by using analytical (metal analysis), biochemical, spectroscopic, structural, and computational approaches. Our work suggests a new biological function of the rubredoxin center in transient iron binding and shuttling, thereby expanding the functional diversity of this common metal-binding motif.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Chemicals**—$^{57}$Fe (95% enrichment) was purchased from Science Engineering and Education Co. (Edina, MN). 3-HAA, ammonium ferrous sulfate hexahydrate, ascorbate, EDTA, copper sulfate pentahydrate, Tris base, and glycerol were purchased from Sigma with the highest grade available.

**Bioinformatics Analysis**—The evolutionary history of HAO was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the JTT matrix-based model (16). The tree with the highest log likelihood ($-26530.3730$) is shown. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained by applying the Neighbor Joining method to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using a JTT model. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. All positions with less than 95% site coverage were eliminated. That is, fewer than 5% alignment gaps, missing data, and ambiguous bases were allowed at any position. There was a total of 55 posts in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA6 (17). Subsequently, a HAO sequence similarity network was constructed with the EFI-EST webserver and visualized with Cytoscape 3.2.0.

**Protein Preparation**—HAO was purified according to a published method (15). All catalytic activity assays and spectroscopic measurements were performed in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, buffer with 5% glycerol. The metal-free, apo form of HAO was prepared by overnight treatment with EDTA (10 mM) at 4 °C, followed by dialysis and gel-filtration chromatography for removal of EDTA. The fully iron-loaded HAO (holo-HAO) was obtained by adding 10 eq of Fe$^{2+}$ (from a fresh O$_2$-free solution of ammonium ferrous sulfate) to apo-HAO under anaerobic conditions. Excess iron ions were removed by gel-filtration chromatography using argon-saturated buffer.

**Catalytic Activity Assays of Metal-reconstituted HAO**—The catalytic activity assays were performed in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.6, 5% glycerol) as previously described using an Agilent 8453 spectrophotometer (9, 15, 19–21). The rate of enzymatic reactions was monitored based on the formation of the dioxygenation product (Fig. 1), α-amino-β-carboxymuconate-ε-semialdehyde (ACMS), at 360 nm ($\varepsilon_{360 \text{ nm}} = 47,500$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$) (15). The apoenzyme was premixed and incubated with varying molar equivalents and orders of metals according to Fig. 3. The final concentrations of enzyme and substrate were kept constant throughout all assays. Holo-HAO with 2 molar eq of Fe$^{2+}$ was set as the 100% benchmark for comparison.

**Mössbauer Spectroscopy**—In an anaerobic chamber, we reconstituted apo-HAO with 1 eq of $^{57}$Fe$^{2+}$ and/or Cu$^{2+}$ at a time. This procedure generated a series of samples containing $^{57}$Fe/$^{57}$Fe, $^{57}$Fe/“Cu” (copper did not bind to the rubredoxin site), and Cu/$^{57}$Fe. The final protein concentration for Mössbauer measurement was 0.9 mM. Mössbauer spectra were...
recorded on a constant acceleration instrument at 77 K using a model MS4 instrument manufactured by See Co., Edina, MN.

**Electronic Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) Spectroscopy—**The Cu\(^{2+}\)-loaded HAO for EPR analysis was generated by reconstitution of apo-HAO with 9 eq of Cu\(^{2+}\) (from a fresh solution of copper sulfate pentahydrate), followed by gel-filtration chromatography for removal of excess Cu\(^{2+}\). X-band EPR data were obtained in perpendicular mode (9.62 GHz) on a Bruker ER 200D spectrometer coupled with a 4116DM resonator at 100-kHz modulation frequency under nonsaturating conditions with modulation amplitude of 0.3 millitesla. The measurement temperature was maintained at 77 K using a cold finger liquid nitrogen Dewar. The EPR spectrum was simulated using a program written by one of the authors (22) with the following parameters: \(g_x = 2.055, g_y = 2.065, g_z = 2.256, I = 3/2\) for the copper nuclear spin, \(I = 1\) for the nitrogen nuclear spin, \(A(Cu) = 175\) G, and \(A(N) = 13.4\) G. Two nitrogen atoms from the two histidine ligands were used to reproduce the hyperfine coupling interactions.

**Crystallization, X-ray Data Collection, and Data Processing—**HAO proteins were crystallized by optimization of conditions previously established (9), using hanging drop vapor diffusion in VDX plates from Hampton Research (Aliso Viejo, CA). Crystal growth was carried out at 16 °C in a vibration-free crystal growth refrigerator (Molecular Dimensions Ltd., Altamonte Springs, FL). Single crystals suitable for x-ray data collection were obtained from drops assembled with 1 µl of protein solution and 1 µl of reservoir solution containing 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 9.0, 0.2 M MgCl\(_2\), 1 mM DTT, and 20% PEG 8000. For the picolinic acid (PIC)-bound HAO structure, the ligand-free crystals were soaked in the mother liquor supplemented with 1 mM PIC. The crystals were mounted in small loops made of fine rayon fiber and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen after being dipped into the cryoprotectant solution (0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 9.0, 0.2 M MgCl\(_2\), 20% PEG 8000, and 30% glycerol). X-ray diffraction data for Fe/Fe-HAO, Fe/“Cu”-HAO, and Cu/Fe-HAO were collected with the SER-CAT beamline 22-ID of the Advanced Photon Source at the Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL. The data collection was performed at 100 K. The diffraction data were indexed, integrated, and scaled with HKL-2000. The structures were solved by molecular replacement using the MOLREP program of the CCP4 suite (23) with the published HAO structure (PDB entry 1YFU) as a search model. Electron density was fit and refined using Coot (24) and REFMAC5 (25).

**Molecular Dynamics Simulation—**All of the molecular dynamics simulations of Fe/Fe-HAO and single load Fe-HAO with the iron ion bound only to the catalytic site, were performed with the modified version of the ff99SB force field (26) using the AMBER10 suite of programs (27). The 1.74-Å resolution crystal structure of HAO, PDB entry 4L2N from this work, was used as the starting conformation for both systems. The single-loaded Fe-HAO model was generated from the x-ray crystal structure of Fe/Fe-HAO by simply removing one of the iron ions. The systems were solvated in a periodic rectangular box of the TIP3P water model (28) using the xleap module in the AMBER tools. All of the bonds involving hetero atoms and hydrogen were constrained using the SHAKE algorithm (29).

The systems were equilibrated and maintained at 300 K using a Langevin thermostat (30) with a collision frequency of 1 ps\(^{-1}\). Long-range electrostatic interactions were calculated using the Particle mesh Ewald summation method (31), and a cut-off of 9 Å was used for non-bonded interactions. The simulations were performed using the NPT ensemble at a constant pressure of 1 bar, and a time step of 2 fs was used to integrate the equation of motion. The systems were equilibrated for 1 ns, and the final production phases were run for 100 ns. To maintain the crystallographic coordination geometry, harmonic restraints of 300.0 kcal/mol Å\(^2\) and 60.0 kcal/mol rad\(^2\) were applied to the coordinating bonds and dihedral angles of the participating residues, respectively, throughout the simulation.

**Calculation of Metal-binding Energies—**The binding energies of the Fe\(^{2+}\) ion at the catalytic site and rubredoxin-like site were calculated based on the thermodynamic cycle shown. From Scheme 1, the following equation can be obtained,

\[
\Delta E_{\text{binding(sol)}} = \Delta E_{\text{binding(gas)}} + \Delta E_{\text{Fe-BS(sol)}} - \left( \Delta E_{\text{BS(sol)}} + \Delta E_{\text{Fe BS}} \right)
\]

(Eq. 1)

where BS corresponds to the iron binding site. The active site consists of His-51, His-95, Glu-57, and the two water molecules, with and without iron. The rubredoxin-like site consists of Cys-125, Cys-128, Cys-162, and Cys-165, with and without the iron. Ten snapshots from each simulation (Fe/Fe-HAO and single load Fe-HAO) were taken from the last 50 ns of the entire simulation. Each binding site was subjected to single point calculations using the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory. Implicit di-electric of 78.35 was used for the solvent calculations. The \(pK_a\) values of the coordinating residues in the catalytic site and the (Cys)\(_4\) site were estimated using the snapshots from the simulation trajectories. H++ (32) was used to calculate the \(pK_a\) value of the residues. The average \(pK_a\) values of Cys-125, Cys-128, Cys-162, and Cys-165 of the (Cys)\(_4\) site were estimated to be 11.1 ± 0.5, 10.9 ± 0.3, 11.1 ± 0.4, and 10.9 ± 0.3, respectively, above the intrinsic Cys \(pK_a\) of ~8.3. The average \(pK_a\) values for His-51 and His-95 in the catalytic site were calculated to be 11.4 ± 0.3 and 8.2 ± 0.4, respectively, also above the intrinsic His \(pK_a\) of ~6. These results suggest that these residues are protonated before iron binding. As the protonation state of these residues changes upon Fe\(^{2+}\) binding, an additional term \(E_p\) was added to the calculation to account for the dissociation of protons. The deprotonation energy was derived from the calculated \(pK_a\) values as \(DG = 2.303 \times RT \times pK_a\) for each residue, and \(E_p\) is the sum of all the \(DG\). \(AE_{\text{Fe(sol)}}\) is the same for both sites. Therefore, it does not contribute to the estimated binding energy difference between the two locations.
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\[ \Delta E_{\text{binding(sol)}} = \Delta E_{\text{binding(gas)}} + \Delta E_{\text{Fe-BS(sol)}} - (\Delta E_{\text{BS(sol)}} + \Delta E_{\text{Fe(sol)}}) + E_p \] (Eq. 2)

Catalytic Activity Assays of Apo-HAO to Cu/Fe-HAO or Holo-HAO Pseudo-titrations—Cu/Fe-HAO or holo-HAO was premixed with apo-HAO at different ratios ranging up to 8 eq. The catalytic activity of each sample was measured at a saturating substrate concentration of 200 \( \mu M \) 3-HAA with the final concentration of Cu/Fe-HAO or holo-HAO at 10 nm. For each sample, the residual activity from apo-HAO was deducted from the observed activity to determine the effective activity for comparison. The data were fitted to the Hill equation.

Quantification of Metal Chelation by Metabolic Intermediates—For the experiments performed on apoprotein, HAO (50 \( \mu M \)) was reconstituted with 1 eq of Fe\(^{2+}\), followed by gel filtration chromatography to remove the unbound metal. The single-loaded Fe-HAO was incubated overnight, while stirring with 2 mM 3-HAA or PIC anaerobically on ice in the presence of 2 mM sodium ascorbate to avoid autoxidation. The protein samples were then buffer-exchanged via gel filtration chromatography to remove the 3-HAA and PIC. Steady-state kinetic assays and inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) were performed to quantify the activity and metal content for each sample. The steady-state kinetic assays were performed using a similar protocol as mentioned above and in the presence of 200 \( \mu M \) 3-HAA. The ICP-OES metal analyses were performed using a Varian 720-ES spectrometer. The protein-bound iron was detected at 238.204 nm. A calibration curve was constructed for iron quantification. Yttrium (371.029 nm) was used as an internal standard. For the \textit{ex vivo} experiments performed on the \textit{Escherichia coli} cell lysates containing overexpressed HAO, the cell culture was grown, induced, harvested, and lysed using the same protocol as reported previously (15). The cell lysates were incubated with 2 mM 3-HAA or PIC on ice overnight while stirring, and the target protein HAO was purified using a nickel affinity column and desalted. The subsequent kinetic and spectroscopic analyses were performed using the same procedures as the previous experiments. For each set of experiments, \( p \) values were calculated to determine the statistical difference between the control sample and the experimental samples.

Results

Bioinformatics Analysis of HAO Shows the Conservation of the Rubredoxin-like Motif—To better understand the evolutionary origins of the [Fe(Cys\(_3\))] site, a phylogenetic study was conducted. A blast search for HAO-like proteins returned 1396 results, among which 966 sequences correspond to HAO. Of the HAO proteins returned, 207 partial or redundant sequences were removed, leaving 759 for phylogenetic analysis. Multiple sequence alignment gave two distinct groups of HAO enzymes. The first group (576 sequences) is comprised of bacterial and fungal sources with roughly 170 amino acids in length, and all but four contain a rubredoxin-like motif. The second group (259 sequences) is comprised mostly of animal sources and some fungal sources with an average length of more than 280 amino acids. This group does not contain the canonical rubredoxin-like motif, with the exception of \textit{Tupaia chinesis}, a tree shrew. By using the EFI-EST webserver, we also analyzed HAO sequences from the InterPro family IPR010329 and the Pfam family PF06052 and built a HAO sequence similarity network (Fig. 2). The finding, that most prokaryotic organisms utilize the secondary iron-binding site, whereas eukaryotic organisms do not, sparked the initial investigation into the functional role of this accessory metal-binding site.

The Catalytic Center Has a Higher Priority for Metal Binding—The first biochemical issue to be addressed in this study is to compare the metal-binding affinity between the two iron centers. We began by comparing the relative activity of HAO loaded with varying amounts of different transition metals. Using holo-HAO as the catalytic activity benchmark (specific activity 8.6 \( \mu mol \) min\(^{-1}\) mg\(^{-1}\)) (15), we tested the catalytic activity of apo-HAO reconstituted with Fe\(^{2+}\) and Cu\(^{2+}\) in different sequences and ratios (Fig. 3). Copper is chosen because it can be characterized by EPR spectroscopy, and its EPR signal is sensitive to the coordination environment. In contrast, zinc ion denatures HAO in the reconstitution experiments, and it is spectroscopically silent. When 2 eq of Cu\(^{2+}\) were titrated to apo-HAO, only nominal activity (about 2%) was detected, similar to the apoprotein. This indicates that the Cu\(^{2+}\) ion cannot effectively mediate the catalytic ET from 3-HAA to molecular oxygen. When apo-HAO was reconstituted with 1 molar eq of Fe\(^{2+}\) per polypeptide chain, the resulting single-loaded Fe-HAO exhibited greater than 80% relative activity, which suggests that Fe\(^{2+}\) preferentially binds to the catalytic site. Unlike the \( \alpha \)-ketoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase assays in which uncoupled reaction often takes place due to the presence of the co-substrate, \( \alpha \)-ketoglutarate (33, 34), the HAO reaction only involves the oxidation of one organic substrate, 3-HAA. Both
holo-HAO and single-loaded Fe-HAO were robust catalysts under turnover conditions and the differential in self-inactivation was not observed. This suggests that the previously speculated role of protection against autoxidation is insignificant during catalysis, and oxygen activation in HAO is primarily triggered by its sole organic substrate, 3-HAA.

The addition of Cu²⁺, from 1 to 10 eq, to the single-loaded Fe-HAO caused no appreciable change in its catalytic activity, suggesting that Cu²⁺ is unable to outcompete Fe²⁺ from the catalytic site. Similarly, no apparent increase in the catalytic activity was observed after further addition of Fe²⁺ to single-loaded Cu-HAO, which indicates that Cu²⁺ is able to bind to the catalytic site and is not easily displaced by Fe²⁺. These results imply that the catalytic site appears to have a higher metal-binding affinity than the surface rubredoxin-like site.

To further quantify the results of the kinetic tests, the order of metal binding was further studied using Mössbauer spectroscopy. In this study, a combination of the Mössbauer-active metal, iron, and a Mössbauer-silent metal, copper, were used and the sequence of metal reconstitution was varied to elucidate the metal binding priority between the two sites in HAO. Under anaerobic conditions, apo-HAO was reconstituted with 57Fe²⁺ and/or Cu²⁺, 1 eq at a time. This procedure generated a series of samples, which presumably contained 57Fe⁵⁷Fe, 57Fe/“Cu,” and Cu/57Fe reconstituted in the specified orders. The Mössbauer spectrum of the 57Fe/57Fe-HAO sample consists of two distinct sets of quadrupole doublets with roughly equal signal intensities (Fig. 4A, top panel). Spectral simulation shows that the quadrupole doublet represented in red displays an isomer shift (δ) value of 1.37 mm/s and a quadrupole splitting (ΔE_Q) value of 2.95 mm/s. These values are consistent with nitrogen/oxygen mixed ligation for a high-spin (S = 2) Fe²⁺ center and are comparable with the values reported for the catalytic Fe²⁺ center in another extradiol dioxygenase, protocatechuate 4,5-dioxygenase (35). This quadrupole doublet is therefore assigned to the iron ion at the catalytic center. The other quadrupole doublet represented in green has a δ value of 0.52 mm/s and a ΔE_Q value of 0.57 mm/s, characteristic of a ferrous rubredoxin-like center at an S = 2 state (36, 37). The Mössbauer spectrum of Cu/57Fe-HAO was dominated by the signal from the rubredoxin-like center, whereas the catalytic center only accounted for a minimal portion (Fig. 4A, middle panel). Conversely, when 57Fe²⁺ was loaded before Cu²⁺ (57Fe/“Cu”-HAO), the majority of the signal was seen from the catalytic center (Fig. 4A, bottom panel). The Mössbauer data provides a strong support for the notion that the catalytic center has a higher priority for metal binding.

The reconstituted HAO proteins were also analyzed by EPR spectroscopy. The Cu²⁺ center is EPR-active, whereas the Fe²⁺ centers are invisible with X-band EPR spectroscopy at 9 GHz. Due to spin coupling between free Cu²⁺ ions in solution, the EPR signal intensity of free Cu²⁺ ions is low. Binding to protein
ligands, however, increases the EPR signal intensity so that it is proportional to the amount of the chelated copper ions. Chelation also alters the EPR signal line shape and hyperfine structures, which are sensitive to the ligand set and protein environment. Cu\(^{2+}\)-reconstituted HAO displays a homogeneous EPR signal indicative of a type II Cu\(^{2+}\) center coordinated by a mixture of nitrogen/oxygen ligands (Fig. 4B). The hyperfine splitting pattern can be satisfactorily fit by incorporating the coupling interactions from two nitrogen atoms (nuclear spin \( I = 1 \)), suggesting that Cu\(^{2+}\) is solely coordinated to the catalytic (His)\(_4\)Glu site, consistent with the activity assays and Mössbauer studies.

To further evaluate the above biochemical and spectroscopic findings, the metal binding energies at both sites were calculated using the density functional theory at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory on multiple structural snapshots obtained from 100-ns molecular dynamics simulations of Fe/Fe-HAO based on the scheme described under “Experimental Procedures.” The calculated binding energy \( (\Delta E_{\text{binding}}) \) of Fe\(^{2+}\) to the catalytic (His)\(_4\)Glu site is \(-93.50 \pm 3.92 \) kcal/mol, lower than the binding energy of Fe\(^{2+}\) to the rubredoxin-like (Cys)\(_4\) site (\(-83.35 \pm 2.67 \) kcal/mol). The absolute binding free energies are expected to be higher than the change in energies, because the loss in translational entropy of iron upon binding is not considered and is the same for both sites. The difference in binding energy between the two iron-binding sites is \(-10 \) kcal/mol and will not be affected by the inclusion of the entropy change. This energy difference provides a rationale for the experimental observation that the first available metal ended up in the enzyme active site.

**Structural Characterization Clarifies the Molecular Basis of Metal Binding**—Spectroscopic characterization and computational studies confirm the prioritized metal binding between the two metal-binding sites. However, they provide very limited metal center coordination information in the reconstituted proteins. Fe/Fe-, Cu/Fe-, and Fe/"Cu"-HAO proteins generated by the same method as the Mössbauer samples were crystallized, and their structures were determined (Fig. 5). The crystallographic data collection and refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1. The Fe/Fe- and Cu/Fe-HAO crystal structures were refined to 1.74 and 1.75 Å, respectively (Fig. 5, A and B), which allows for detailed comparisons (PDB codes 4HSJ, 4HVO, 4HVQ, and 4L2N). The overall structures of Cu/Fe-HAO and Fe/Fe-HAO are nearly identical. In the catalytic center of Cu/Fe-HAO, the metal ion is coordinated by His-51 and His-95 with bond lengths of 1.9 and 2.0 Å, respectively, whereas in Fe/Fe-HAO, the bond distances are 2.2 Å for both residues. Glu-57 bidentately chelates the catalytic metal in both Cu/Fe and Fe/Fe-HAO, with Oε1 and Oε2 at 2.6 and 2.0 Å, respectively in the former, and 2.7 and 2.2 Å, respectively, in the latter. Three water ligands, at distances of 2.3, 2.8, and 2.9 Å from the catalytic center, are observed in Cu/Fe-HAO, whereas two are observed in Fe/Fe-HAO at distances of 2.3 and 2.7 Å. Given the coordination difference to the Fe/Fe-HAO structure and the aforementioned activity assays and spectroscopic results, the metal at the catalytic site in Cu/Fe-HAO is assigned to copper. The other metal center in Cu/Fe-HAO is structurally identical to the [Fe(Cys)\(_4\)] center in the Fe/Fe-HAO structure.

In the Fe/"Cu"-HAO structure shown in Fig. 5C, the metal coordination at the catalytic center closely resembles that of Fe/Fe-HAO. Notably, an inspection of the rubredoxin-like region of Fe/"Cu"-HAO reveals that the electron density of residues 154–174 (11.5% of the total sequence) is missing. As indicated by their higher \( B \)-factor values (Fig. 5D), the Cu/Fe-HAO structure is slightly more dynamic than the Fe/Fe-HAO structure, whereas the Fe/"Cu"-HAO structure shows significantly increased conformational flexibility near the (Cys)\(_4\) site, which is presumably due to the absence of the iron ion at this site. The observed disorder at the rubredoxin-like site seems to have a limited effect on the catalytic site. Therefore, the conformational flexibility at the (Cys)\(_4\) site is unlikely to cause a severe disruption in catalysis. These results are consistent with the spectroscopic conclusions that the first metal introduced to apo-HAO binds to the catalytic site and they also reveal that the (Cys)\(_4\) site is selective for Fe\(^{2+}\) rather than Cu\(^{2+}\).

The flexibility of the (Cys)\(_4\) site was further investigated using molecular dynamics simulations. We performed two 100-ns simulations of Fe/Fe-HAO and single load Fe-HAO with the (Cys)\(_4\) site free of iron. The \( B \)-factors were calculated using the simulation trajectory of the Fe/Fe-HAO system and compared with the experimental values from our x-ray crystallographic structure (PDB entry 4L2N) (Fig. 6A). The calculated \( B \)-factors are in excellent agreement with the experimental results, suggesting that the molecular simulations capture the underlying dynamics of the systems. In general, the peaks (flexible regions) in the simulations nicely coincide with those from the x-ray crystallographic data. During the simulation, the root mean square deviation from ideal geometry of Fe/Fe-HAO is consistently lower than that from single load Fe-HAO, suggesting that single load HAO is more dynamic than Fe/Fe-HAO due to the more flexible C terminus from the former (Fig. 6B). The percentage increase in root mean square fluctuation of Cys-162 and Cys-165 of the (Cys)\(_4\) site from Fe/Fe-HAO to single load Fe-HAO is \(-60 \) and 70%, respectively, also in line with the x-ray crystallographic results that could not resolve residues 154–174 at the C terminus due to increased flexibility (Fig. 6C).

**Restoration of the Catalytic Activity of the Apoprotein Is Observed through Intermolecular Iron Transfer**—We noticed that the structure of the rubredoxin motif in HAO is highly analogous to that of iron-chaperone proteins such as Dph4, a unique J-protein family member that preferably binds Fe\(^{2+}\) at its (Cys)\(_4\) site for the regulation of iron homeostasis (38). The [Fe(Cys)\(_4\)] region is composed of two loop structures forming a metal-binding knuckle (Fig. 7). Thus, it is reasonable to speculate that the (Cys)\(_4\) center of HAO would serve as an endogenous iron reservoir for replenishing the catalytic iron when it is lost during highly active metabolic state.

To test this hypothesis, a series of pseudo-titration activity assays were designed to probe the possibility of intermolecular iron transfer from the rubredoxin-like site to the empty catalytic site. As described previously, Cu/Fe-HAO has a Cu\(^{2+}\) ion bound at the catalytic center and an Fe\(^{2+}\) ion bound at the rubredoxin-like site. This reconstituted form of HAO has minimal catalytic activity, as shown in Fig. 3. However, when inactive, apo-HAO was added to Cu/Fe-HAO, a distinct increase in the dioxygenase activity was observed. The experiment was
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performed in a pseudo-titration manner, by premixing Cu/Fe-HAO and apo-HAO at different ratios, with the final concentration of Cu/Fe-HAO kept constant. Fig. 8A shows that the catalytic activity was increasingly restored as the molar ratio of apo-HAO to Cu/Fe-HAO increased. The specific activity increased non-linearly, reaching a plateau corresponding to the catalytic activity of HAO with a single iron ion charged to the catalytic center. Because both forms of protein are minimally active, the mechanism for the rescue of the dioxygenase activity is solely dependent upon intermolecular iron transfer from the rubredoxin-like site of Cu/Fe-HAO to the catalytic site of apo-HAO.

We then performed a similar set of experiments using holo-HAO rather than Cu/Fe-HAO. Fig. 8B shows that the specific activity increased with increasing apo-HAO concentrations. The specific activity increased non-linearly and reached a plateau at roughly twice the activity of the initial holo-HAO. The result of the experiments with holo-HAO reinforces the mechanistic proposal for an intermolecular iron transfer from the [Fe(Cys)₄] center to the empty catalytic His₃Glu site.
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The Need for Replenishing the Catalytic Iron Is Demonstrated by HAO Incubation with a Downstream Metabolic Intermediate—Many of the metabolites in the kynurenine pathway are iron chelators (39–42). In fact, the substrate for HAO, 3-HAA, is excrated in a yeast species and has been suggested to mediate iron metabolism (43, 44) and a downstream metabolic intermediate, PIC (see Fig. 1), is an abundant metal chelator in human milk (39, 40), and it is an inhibitor of HAO (45). During high metabolic activity, these metal-chelating intermediates could potentially outcompete HAO for its non-heme iron ion at the catalytic center. We performed kinetic and spectroscopic studies to investigate the possibility of iron removal by these compounds. HAO reconstituted with 1 eq of Fe^{2+}, which preferentially binds to the catalytic site, was anaerobically incubated with 3-HAA and PIC. As shown in Fig. 9A, the sample incubated with PIC shows decreased activity compared with the control, whereas there was little change for the sample incubated with 3-HAA. ICP-OES data demonstrates that a single round of incubation with PIC removed nearly half of the iron ions bound to HAO, consistent with the results of the activity assay (Fig. 9B). Furthermore, in an attempt to probe whether this observation would occur in cellular matrix, we performed ex vivo experiments using E. coli cell lysates that contain overexpressed HAO. Fig. 9, C and D, confirm that PIC can effectively remove iron from HAO and cause a decrease in the catalytic activity.

To follow up on this finding, we were able to determine the PIC-bound crystal structure of HAO to 1.88-Å resolution by soaking ligand-free crystals in mother liquor spiked with PIC (Fig. 10, PDB entry 4HSJ). The binding of PIC did not change the overall structure of HAO (Fig. 10A). The PIC molecule is present only at the catalytic center but not in the [Fe(Cys)_4] center. The PIC ligand bidentately chelates the catalytic iron with the pyridine nitrogen atom (2.4 Å distance) and one of the carboxylate oxygen atoms (2.8 Å), opposite to the metal ligands His-51, Glu-57, and His-95 (Fig. 10B). In addition, PIC is engaged with Glu-110 via hydrogen-bonding interactions. Fig. 10C shows an overlay of the active site structure of PIC-bound HAO and the previously determined HAO in complex with the substrate 3-HAA (PDB entry 1YFY) (9). There are no significant deviations in the overall structure as well as the metal ligands from the 2-His, 1-Glu facial triad. 3-HAA also bidentally chelates the active site iron at the same position, although its ring structure does not overlay with that of PIC (Fig. 10C). Furthermore, a similar H-bonding interaction with Glu-110 also exists to stabilize 3-HAA. The structural data provides a comprehensive, molecular level of understanding of the HAO-PIC interactions.

Discussion

Consideration of the Roles of the Rubredoxin-like Site—The rubredoxin-like mononuclear iron center is the simplest iron-sulfur center with tetrahedral coordination of the iron ion. The Cys residues form a characteristic loop structure known as a “knuckle” (Cys-X-X-Cys-X-X) (46). A mysterious rubredoxin center is present in HAO. In this work, the following functional roles were considered for the [Fe(Cys)_4] center of HAO in addition to the known oxidative protection aptitude and the default structural role: catalytic, electron transfer, substrate or product channeling, and metal reservoir.

The possibility of the catalytic role does not apply to this enzyme. The accessory, non-heme iron center is clearly not involved in catalysis as shown in our kinetic assays (Fig. 3). All four electrons needed for O_2 reduction are provided by the organic substrate, 3-HAA, thereby eliminating the requirement for electron transfer from an additional electron source. Thus, the most common electron transfer role of the [Fe(Cys)_4] center, i.e. electron transfer, is excluded. As to the possibility of substrate or product channeling, the HAO catalytic center has a well defined substrate-binding pocket adjacent to the catalytic...

---

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>Fe/Fe-HAO</th>
<th>Cu/Fe-HAO</th>
<th>Fe/&quot;Cu&quot;-HAO</th>
<th>PIC-bound HAO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P6_1</td>
<td>P6_1</td>
<td>P6_1</td>
<td>P6_1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell lengths (Å)</td>
<td>a = b = 58.3, c = 231.4</td>
<td>a = b = 58.5, c = 230.2</td>
<td>a = b = 57.7, c = 232.0</td>
<td>a = b = 58.59, c = 230.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)*</td>
<td>50.00-1.74 (1.78-1.74)</td>
<td>45.00-1.75 (1.78-1.75)</td>
<td>45.00-2.80 (2.85-2.80)</td>
<td>50.00-1.88 (1.91-1.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)*</td>
<td>96.9 (76.8)</td>
<td>98.2 (84.3)</td>
<td>82.9 (22.7)</td>
<td>91.1 (90.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmerge (%)</td>
<td>8.7 (47.4)</td>
<td>11.2 (60.6)</td>
<td>8.8 (35.4)</td>
<td>6.5 (27.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/σ(I)</td>
<td>67.2 (3.0)</td>
<td>51.6 (2.5)</td>
<td>30.6 (3.1)</td>
<td>78.9 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy*</td>
<td>21.0 (10.0)</td>
<td>25.3 (13.7)</td>
<td>16.1 (10.5)</td>
<td>28.3 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Refinement**

| Resolution (Å) | 1.74 | 1.75 | 2.81 | 1.88 |
| Rwork (%) | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.21 |
| Rfree (%) | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.33 | 0.25 |
| Ramachandran statistics | Preferred (%) | 97.7 | 97.7 | 86.2 | 97.1 |
| Allowed (%) | 2.3 | 2.3 | 10.5 | 2.91 |
| Root mean square deviation | Bond lengths (Å) | 0.007 | 0.027 | 0.008 | 0.008 |
| Bond angles (°) | 1.17 | 2.274 | 1.170 | 1.170 |

**Database deposition**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PDB entry</th>
<th>4L2N</th>
<th>4HVO</th>
<th>4HVQ</th>
<th>4HSJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

*a Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

*b Rmerge = \( \frac{\sum_{hkl,i} |I_{hkl,i} - \langle I_{hkl} \rangle|}{\sum_{hkl,i} I_{hkl,i}} \) where \( I_{hkl,i} \) is the observed intensity and \( \langle I_{hkl} \rangle \) is the average intensity of multiple measurements.

*c Rwork = \( \frac{\sum_{hkl,i} |F_{o,i} - F_{c,i}|}{\sum_{hkl,i} F_{o,i}} \) where \( F_{o,i} \) is the observed structure factor amplitude, and \( F_{c,i} \) is the calculated structure factor amplitude.

*d Rfree is the R factor based on 5% of the data excluded from refinement.

*e Based on values attained from refinement validation options in COOT.
iron ion and it is exposed to the solvent (9). Therefore, the [Fe(Cys)₄] center would not need to participate in substrate binding or product release. Otherwise, HAO from all sources should have this accessory metal center for its catalytic function. Furthermore, the product channeling through the [Fe(Cys)₄] center, if true, would have an impact on the pathway.

**Figure 6.** Computational studies of rubredoxin-like site of HAO. A, the comparison of the calculated and experimental B-factors of Fe/Fe-HAO. The B-factors were calculated from the simulation trajectory of the Fe/Fe-HAO simulation (black) and compared with the B-factors from the x-ray crystallographic data (green). B, root mean square deviation during molecular dynamics simulations. Root mean square deviation of Fe/Fe-HAO (black) and single load Fe-HAO with the rubredoxin-like site free of metal (red). C, root mean square fluctuation of Cys-125, Cys-128, Cys-162, and Cys-165 in Fe/Fe-HAO and single load Fe-HAO. The residues are more flexible in single load Fe-HAO (red) than Fe/Fe-HAO (black).

**Figure 7.** The rubredoxin-like iron-binding site of HAO is similar to that of an iron-storage protein, Dph4. The PDB access codes for HAO (A) and Dph4 (B) are 4L2N and 2L6L, respectively.

**Figure 8.** The rubredoxin-like site of HAO replenishes iron to the catalytic site. A, addition of apo-HAO (minimally active) to Cu/Fe-HAO (minimally active) produced catalytically active enzyme; B, addition of apo-HAO to holo-HAO doubled the total catalytic activity. Cu/Fe-HAO or holo-HAO was premixed with apo-HAO at different ratios. The catalytic activity of each sample was measured by monitoring the product formation at 360 nm at a saturating substrate concentration of 200 μM with the final concentration of Cu/Fe-HAO or holo-HAO at 10 nM. For each sample, the residual activity from apo-HAO (determined separately from control experiments) was deducted from the observed activity to determine the effective activity for comparison. The data were fitted to the Hill equation with a Hill coefficient of 2.00 and 2.36 for A and B, respectively. The insets show representative kinetic traces. For each trace, the absorbance at the starting point was subtracted to correct for background.
A Spare Tire to the Catalytic Metal

FIGURE 9. PIC removes the catalytic iron of HAO in the isolated protein and cell lysates. Isolated HAO reconstituted with 1 eq of Fe\(^{2+}\) was incubated with 3-HAA or PIC (2 mM) and analyzed by steady-state kinetic assays (A) and ICP-OES analysis (B). Exogenous 3-HAA or PIC was removed from the samples via a desalting column prior to the kinetic and spectroscopic analyses. Similarly, E. coli cell lysates containing overexpressed HAO were incubated with PIC (2 mM) and analyzed by steady-state kinetic assays (C) and ICP-OES analysis (D). After incubation, HAO was purified with a nickel-affinity column and desalted prior to subsequent analyses. *, \(p < 0.05\); **, \(p < 0.01\); and ***, \(p < 0.001\).

profiling. The metabolic pathway divides immediately after the HAO-mediated chemical reaction. A decarboxylase, ACMSD (PDB entry 4OFC) (47), competes with a non-enzymatic reaction to direct the major metabolic flux to the enzyme-mediated route and allows only a minor fraction to produce quinolinic acid (Fig. 1) (19, 48). We have also considered possible product channeling between HAO and ACMSD, but our docking models of the two proteins did not yield any protein-protein interactions involving the rubredoxin-like domain (not shown). Therefore, a regulatory role of the rubredoxin center via product channeling through protein-protein interactions is unlikely.

Instead, we found that the iron ion in the rubredoxin-like domain is readily delivered to the catalytic site of the apoenzyme, i.e. the metal reservoir. Such an understanding has been elucidated by our spectroscopic, kinetic, structural, and metal analysis data. Our computational study shows that the iron-binding affinity at the catalytic center is higher than the non-catalytic site, with a binding energy difference of ~10 kcal/mol. Metal-binding dynamics will, therefore, dictate metal redistribution, due to the different metal binding affinities at the two sites. Furthermore, this calculated difference in binding affinity agrees with our kinetic, spectroscopic, and structural data to ensure that the proposed intermolecular iron shuttling model is based upon both the experimental and theoretical evidence.

Demonstration of Iron Transfer for Catalytic Activity Restoration—Next, we show that there is indeed a need to replenish the catalytic iron during or after a highly active metabolic state, and that the iron ion will be relocated to the catalytic center. The results presented in Fig. 8 unambiguously indicates the capability of iron transfer between the two iron centers, from the rubredoxin-like site of Cu/Fe- or holo-HAO to the catalytic site of apo-HAO. It appears that the protein has tuned the iron-binding affinity of the rubredoxin-like site to an ideal point for transient iron storage in the enzyme, as a "spare tire" for the catalytic iron ion. Because the amount of iron bound to the catalytic site is the limiting factor for the observed dioxygenase activity and holo-HAO was the sole source of iron in the set of experiments shown in Fig. 8B, the theoretical maximum activity, assuming complete iron transfer from the accessory site of holo-HAO to the catalytic site of apo-HAO, is twice that of the initial activity of holo-HAO alone. Therefore, the observed doubling of activity as apo-HAO was titrated to holo-HAO supports a hypothesis that iron is transferred from the (Cys)\(^4\) site to the empty catalytic site of the metal-free enzyme, increasing the population of catalytically active protein molecules and leading to restoration of catalytic activity. Likewise, when Cu/Fe-HAO was the sole source of iron ions, the maximal catalytic activity obtained was close to a singly charged Fe-HAO (Fig. 8A). This observation cannot be explained by an intramolecular iron transfer model, because the Mössbauer data (Fig. 4A) and the activity assays (Fig. 3) show that iron cannot replace copper at the catalytic site.

Furthermore, the oligomerization state of HAO, which has been crystallized as a dimer (9),\(^9\) also points toward an intermolecular iron transfer model. In the quaternary structure, the two catalytic sites sit in the center of a heart-shaped dimer configuration, whereas the rubredoxin-like sites are positioned at the two wings, distinct from the catalytic sites. For intramolecular iron transfer to occur, the rubredoxin-like iron must traverse 24 Å to the closest catalytic site within the dimer and no apparent structural fluctuations have been observed indicating this possibility. It should be pointed out that the structural data does not provide an apparent pathway for intramolecular iron transfer, such a possibility cannot be ruled out.

Another possibility is that the iron shuttling mechanism could be linked to the iron oxidation state. However, the proteins inside the cell are in a reduced environment and the catalytically competent form of HAO is the ferrous state. Therefore, one would also anticipate that the rubredoxin-like site of HAO is in the ferrous state in vivo. The rubredoxin-like site in HAO has been previously suggested to be able to absorb leaking oxidative equivalents generated at the catalytic center from autoxidation of the enzyme (15). This would further indicate that the iron ion in this site is in a reduced state.

At this stage, an intermolecular iron delivery mechanism is established. However, it remains unclear if the iron ion is trans-

\(^9\) One monomer is present in each asymmetric unit. However, each monomer has extensive interactions with a monomer in the neighboring asymmetric unit and forms a heart-shaped dimer.
ferred through transient protein-protein interactions or free diffusion in the buffer.

Potential Physiological Relevance of the Iron-shuttling Mechanism—Rubredoxin-like $\text{[Fe(Cys)₄]}$ centers are prevalent in nature. Their primary function, other than the default structural role, is typically to mediate electron transfer (1), although there are isolated reports of alternative functions such as catalysis (49) and protection from oxidative stress (50) (Table 2). The present work proposes a new function of this canonical iron center for endogenous iron binding and shuttling. The biochemical and structural results collectively suggest that the rubredoxin-like iron center of HAO plays a reservoir role, to replenish the catalytic iron if it is lost. Loss of the metal cofactor during catalysis or transient equilibrium has been previously reported in HAO (42) and in other non-enzymatic and enzymatic systems (51, 52). Here, we show that a downstream metabolic intermediate, PIC, effectively chelates and removes the catalytic iron from HAO (Figs. 9 and 10). Although our computational results indicate that the chelation of the rubredoxin-like iron would be thermodynamically favorable, the tetrahedral coordination geometry of the rubredoxin-like iron provides steric hindrance limiting the accessibility of chelating compounds. In contrast, the active site iron has two weakly associated water ligands (Fig. 5A) that can be replaced by substrate binding (9, 15). Chelating compounds, with a structure similar to that of the substrate, would take advantage of these transient coordination sites to potentially chelate and remove the catalytic metal.

In the kynurenine pathway, PIC is the downstream metabolic dead-end product of the nonenzymatic cyclization of 2-aminomuconate-6-semialdehyde, the product of ACMSD (Fig. 1). During high metabolic activity, the utilization of a nonenzymatically produced metabolic dead-end to regulate an upstream enzyme, allows for a continuous metabolic flux through the pathway while temporarily slowing it down to prevent the further accumulation of PIC. This feedback inhibition mechanism and our newly discovered iron-shuttling mechanism, which restores catalytic activity, provide a pos-

### TABLE 2
Representative functional roles of iron-bound rubredoxin-like domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Proposed function</th>
<th>PDB entry</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rubredoxin</td>
<td>Clostridium pasteurianum</td>
<td>Electron transfer</td>
<td>4RXN</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfurodoxin</td>
<td>Desulfovibrio desulfuricans</td>
<td>Electron transfer</td>
<td>1DFX</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfoferritin</td>
<td>Desulfoferritin gigas</td>
<td>Electron transfer</td>
<td>1DXG</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruberythrin</td>
<td>Desulfovibrio vulgaris</td>
<td>Electron transfer</td>
<td>1RTY</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigerythrin</td>
<td>Desulfovibrio vulgaris</td>
<td>Electron transfer</td>
<td>1YUX</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DiaA</td>
<td>Clostridium klyver</td>
<td>Electron transfer</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBS-rubredoxin-like protein (TA0289)</td>
<td>Thermoplasma acidophilum</td>
<td>Electron transfer</td>
<td>2QH1</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High molecular weight rubredoxin (Hrb)</td>
<td>Moorella thermoacetica</td>
<td>Electron transfer</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dph4/K11</td>
<td>S. cerevisiae</td>
<td>Electron transfer</td>
<td>1YOP(zinc-bound)</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenylate kinase</td>
<td>Dosicidus gigas</td>
<td>Structural</td>
<td>3L0P</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rare-cutting restriction endonuclease (NotI)</td>
<td>Nocardia otitidiscaviarum</td>
<td>Structural</td>
<td>3C25</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III ribonuclease reductase (RNR)</td>
<td>Enterobacteria phage T4</td>
<td>Structural</td>
<td>1H7A</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein kinase G (PknG)</td>
<td>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</td>
<td>Structural</td>
<td>2PZ1(cadmium-bound)</td>
<td>64, 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YciM</td>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>Structural redox regulation</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FdhE</td>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
<td>Structural redox regulation</td>
<td>2FY</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hicin</td>
<td>Homo sapiens</td>
<td>Iron-dependent regulation protein self-maturation</td>
<td>2KEF(apo form)</td>
<td>14, 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubperoxin</td>
<td>Clostridium acetobutylicum</td>
<td>Catalysis</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAO</td>
<td>Cupriavidus metallidurans</td>
<td>Iron binding and shuttling</td>
<td>4L2N</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Proposed function as suggested in the references cited.
A Spare Tire to the Catalytic Metal

A possible explanation of the chemical control over the kynurenine pathway.

HAO in multicellular organisms is solely involved in tryptophan catabolism, which is not a primary energy source. However, in single-cell organisms, the carbon, nitrogen, and energy sources are often derived from the degradation of a single organic compound either naturally or through directed evolution. HAO breaks the aromaticity of the phenyl ring, chemically the most difficult step in these pathways, and hence it is critical for life-sustaining processes in these lower organisms. Thus, the replenishment of the catalytic iron by the accessory metal center becomes essential to the survival of these organisms but not in multicellular organisms.

The rubredoxin-like motif is ubiquitous in nature, yet very little is known regarding its biological functions. Other than the default structural role, it is often proposed to participate in electron transfer. For the first time we show that a rubredoxin-like iron-sulfur center in an iron-dependent dioxygenase is capable of functioning as a "spare tire" for replenishing the catalytic iron if it becomes stripped by a pool of metal-chelating metabolic intermediates. This idea will be further tested in future biochemical and cellular studies in many of the enzymes and proteins that contain an accessory rubredoxin-like domain. Hence, the results presented are significant for expanding our understanding of such prevalent iron-sulfur centers in biological systems.
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