SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol are not direct activators of SIRT1
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Sirtuins catalyze NAD+-dependent protein deacetylation and are critical regulators of transcription, apoptosis, metabolism and aging. There are seven human sirtuins (SIRT1-7) and SIRT1 has been implicated as a key mediator of the pathways downstream of calorie restriction that have been shown to delay the onset and reduce the incidence of age-related diseases such as type 2 diabetes. Increasing SIRT1 activity, either by transgenic overexpression of the Sirt1 gene in mice or by pharmacological activation by small molecule activators resveratrol and SRT1720, has shown beneficial effects in rodent models of type 2 diabetes indicating that SIRT1 may represent an attractive therapeutic target. Herein, we have assessed purported SIRT1 activators by employing biochemical assays utilizing native substrates, including a p53-derived peptide substrate lacking a fluorophore as well as the purified native full length protein substrates p53 and acetyl-CoA synthetase1. SRT1720, its structurally related compounds SRT2183 and SRT1460, and resveratrol do not lead to apparent activation of SIRT1 with native peptide or full length protein substrates, while they do activate SIRT1 with peptide substrate containing a covalently attached fluorophore. Employing NMR, surface plasmon resonance, and isothermal calorimetry techniques, we provide evidence that these compounds directly interact with fluorophore-containing peptide substrates. Furthermore, we demonstrate that SRT1720 neither lowers plasma glucose nor improves mitochondrial capacity in mice fed a high fat diet. SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol exhibit multiple off-target activities against receptors, enzymes, transporters, and ion channels. Taken together, we conclude that SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol are not direct activators of SIRT1.

Silent information regulator 2 (Sir2) enzymes (or sirtuins) have recently emerged as central players in the regulation of critical metabolic pathways such as insulin secretion and lipid mobilization (1-3). The seven members of the mammalian sirtuin family, SIRT1-7, each with distinct cellular localizations, act on their respective targets to effect a wide range of biological processes (4). The sirtuins regulate their targets by modulating the activity of their partner proteins through reversible deacetylation (5). The most widely studied sirtuin, SIRT1, is homologous to yeast Sir2 which was initially discovered as maintaining the acetylation state of histones in heterochromatin, thereby controlling gene expression. Since then, the discovery of numerous and diverse sirtuin substrates has implicated the sirtuins in metabolism, apoptosis, transcription, and cell survival (2,6-13). The role of the Sir2 enzymes in mediating lifespan extension in yeast (14), worms (10), and flies (9) has led to dramatic interest in this class of enzymes as a potential means of extending longevity. Sir2 deacetylases catalyze the NAD+-dependent deacetylation of specific ε-amino-acetylated lysine residues from its protein substrates to form nicotinamide, the deacetylated product, and a unique metabolite 2'-O-acetyl-ADP-ribose. The biochemical and kinetic
mechanism of Sir2 deacetylases has been extensively studied (15-18).

\textit{In vivo}, SIRT1 has been shown to be activated by fasting and caloric restriction (CR) (19,20). CR extends lifespan and produces a metabolic profile desirable for treating diseases of aging such as type 2 diabetes (21-23). Pharmacological activation of SIRT1 by the small molecule activator resveratrol has been reported to improve insulin sensitivity, increase mitochondrial content, and prolong survival of mice fed a high fat, high calorie diet (24-26). Furthermore, SIRT1 activation by transgenic overexpression of the \textit{Sirt1} gene in mice (27) has shown similar phenotypes indicating that SIRT1 may represent an attractive therapeutic target for the treatment of type 2 diabetes.

SRT1720, SRT2183, and SRT1460 were recently described by Sirtris Pharmaceuticals as SIRT1 activators (26) (Figure 1). They are structurally unrelated to resveratrol and were reported to activate SIRT1 with potencies 1,000-fold greater than resveratrol. These compounds were identified via a high throughput fluorescence polarization (FP) assay followed by potency optimization for \textit{in vitro} enzyme activity using high-throughput mass spectrometry (MS). They were shown to exhibit EC$_{1.5}$ (the compound concentration required to increase enzyme activity by 50%) of 0.16-2.9 $\mu$M, with 296-781% activation (compared with 201% for resveratrol). As with other plant polyphenols such as resveratrol, the mechanism of SIRT1 activation by the Sirtris series was shown to occur through increased binding affinity by decreasing the K$_m$ of SIRT1 for acetylated peptide substrate without affecting the K$_m$ for NAD$^+$ or the V$_{max}$. SRT1720, the most potent activator of the series, was reported to improve glucose homeostasis, increase insulin sensitivity, and increase mitochondrial function in rodent models of type 2 diabetes (26).

The SIRT1 activation by the aforementioned Sirtris series was determined only with a non-native fluorophore-containing p53-derived peptide substrate in both FP and MS assays. Recently, SIRT1 activation by resveratrol was shown to be completely dependent on the presence of a covalently attached fluorophore in the fluorescent peptide substrate (28,29). These results suggested that the resveratrol-mediated \textit{in vivo} effects may occur through a different molecular mechanism independent of direct SIRT1 activation and raised questions about the Sirtris series of compounds and their ability to activate human SIRT1 \textit{in vitro}. In the present study, in order to avoid any potential artifacts associated with fluorescently labeled non-native substrates, we have investigated the ability of the Sirtris series and resveratrol in activating human SIRT1 using a native peptide substrate by direct detection and quantification methods such as HPLC. Furthermore, we tested the hypothesis that the fluorophore may mimic a hydrophobic residue/pocket on the native full length protein substrates and therefore the full length substrates will behave differently than a peptide substrate. We report the first assessment of these putative SIRT1 activators on two native full length substrates, p53 and acetyl-CoA synthetase1 (AceCS1). Herein, we report that neither the Sirtris series nor resveratrol activate SIRT1 in these native systems.

Through biophysical studies, we provide additional evidence that the Sirtris compounds interact directly with fluorophore-containing peptide substrates with micromolar binding affinities even in the absence of SIRT1. In contrast to the previous report (26), we demonstrate that SRT1720 neither lowers plasma glucose nor improves mitochondrial capacity in mice fed a high fat diet. We further demonstrate that the Sirtris series and resveratrol are highly promiscuous as they interact with multiple unrelated targets including receptors, enzymes, ion channels, and transporters. Taken together, these data suggest that SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol are not direct SIRT1 activators.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Materials.** SRT1720, SRT2183, and SRT1460 were synthesized according to published procedures (26). EX-527 (6-chloro-2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1-$H$-carbazole-1-carboxamide) and resveratrol were purchased from Tocris Biosciences and Sigma, respectively. All compounds were stored as dry powders at RT in a nitrogen box and dissolved in DMSO to prepare concentrated stock solutions. Tris-acetate and Triton X-100 were obtained from Research Organics and Calbiochem, respectively. HPLC solvents were from J.T. Baker. The p53-derived peptides, TAMRA-p53-peptide and native p53-
peptide, were custom-synthesized by CPC Scientific, while 2,3-TAMRA was purchased from Molecular Probes. The amino acid sequence of all peptides used in the present study is summarized in Table S1. All reagents used were the highest quality commercially available. Acetyl-CoA synthetase1 (AceCS1) and acetylated acetyl-CoA synthetase1 (Ac-AeCS1) were provided by Dr. John Denu (University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI). All other reagents were purchased from Sigma unless otherwise noted. An Agilent 1100 HPLC system was used in all HPLC experiments and data were processed by Agilent Chemstation software.

**SIRT1 expression and purification.** The E. coli codon-optimized cDNA for human SIRT1 was custom-synthesized at DNA2.0 (Menlo Park, CA) and cloned into a modified pET vector by using standard molecular biology techniques to generate a full-length human SIRT1 construct (amino acids 2-747) with an N-terminal His6-tag followed by a thrombin cleavage site. SIRT1 expression was accomplished in BL21gold (DE3) cells with induction at an A600 nm of 0.9 with a final concentration of IPTG at 50 \( \mu \)M for 16-20 hr at 15°C. SIRT1 was purified using a Ni²⁺ chelating column followed by size exclusion and anion exchange chromatography as previously described (26). This procedure typically generated SIRT1 with ~90% purity based on SDS-PAGE visualized by Coomassie blue staining. Typically 3-5 mg of purified SIRT1 was obtained per liter culture.

**Preparation of native full-length Ac-Lys382-p53.** The full length p53 cDNA was cloned into the baculovirus vector pFastBac (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) with a His6-tag at the N-terminus and p53 was expressed in Sf9 cells as described (30). Sf9 cells expressing p53 from 2 liter culture were lysed using a microfluidizer and the resulting lysate was centrifuged at 100,000 x g for 40 min. The supernatant containing the soluble p53 fraction was purified by Ni-NTA-Sepharose FF column (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ) followed by a Q Sepharose FF column (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ). Purified p53 was acetylated by p300 as described (31). The acetylation reaction mixture (32 ml) contained 2.5 mg of purified p53, 38 \( \mu \)g of p300 acetylase (flag-tagged recombinant enzyme, Active Motif, Carlsbad, CA), 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 50 \( \mu \)M acetyl-CoA, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 10% glycerol. The reaction was incubated at 22 °C for 16 hr. At the end of the reaction, p300 was removed by passing through an anti-Flag M2 agarose column (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and the acetylated p53 (Ac-Lys382-p53) was dialyzed against a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT. The final Ac-Lys382-p53 was confirmed to contain ~30-70% acetylation at the Lys382 residue by tryptic digest followed by LC-MS analysis. The purified and acetylated p53 (Ac-Lys382-p53) protein had purity greater than 98% based on SDS-PAGE visualized by Coomassie staining. Typically 6 mg of purified Ac-Lys382-p53 was obtained per liter culture.

**SIRT1 assay with TAMRA-p53 peptide substrate monitored by HPLC.** The SIRT1 reaction (100 \( \mu \)l) was carried out with 1 \( \mu \)M TAMRA-p53 peptide [(Biotin–PEG3)-Ser-Thr-Ser-Ser-His-Ser-(Ac-Lys)–Nle-Ser-Thr-Glu-Gly-Cys(tetramethylrhodamine-5-maleimide)-Glu-Glu-NH₂] (Nle stands for norleucine.) (TAMRA Peptide 1 in Table S1) under the following standard SIRT1 reaction conditions: 50 mM Tris-acetate, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 150 \( \mu \)M NAD⁺, 1 mM DTT, 0.01% Triton X-100, and 2% DMSO. Reactions were carried out with 5 nM SIRT1 at RT for 30 min, yielding ~5% conversion of TAMRA-p53-peptide to product, allowing for the accurate measurement of ~20-fold activation. For EC₅₀ determination, resveratrol concentrations were varied at 0.01-600 \( \mu \)M, SRT2183 and SRT1460 at 0.003-200 \( \mu \)M, and SRT1720 at 0.005-200 \( \mu \)M. The reaction was quenched with TFA to a final concentration of 2%, centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 10 min, and then transferred to glass HPLC vials.

The HPLC separation of the acetylated TAMRA-p53 peptide substrate and deacetylated peptide product peaks was achieved using a Vydac C18, 5 \( \mu \)m, 50 x 4.6 mm (218TP5405) column with accompanying guard. Mobile phase A was 100% H₂O + 0.1% TFA, while mobile phase B was 100% CH₃CN + 0.1% TFA. The following gradient program was used: 0-35% over 15 minutes, followed by rapid ramp up to 100% B to wash and then 100% A to re-equilibrate the column. Flow rate was kept constant at 1.0 ml/min, column temperature was set at 25°C, and
UV detection was set at 220 nm. The retention times of the deacetylate-peptide and acetylated TAMRA-p53 peptide were ~ 9.1 min and ~9.3 min, respectively.

Percent conversion was determined by dividing the peak area of the product over the sum of the peak areas of both substrate and product peptides. This value was periodically reevaluated by comparing with a deacetylated peptide standard curve. The average rate of conversion of SIRT1 samples run in the absence of compound was compared to those in the presence of compound to establish percent activation value. Graphpad Prism was used to analyze the data and calculate EC1.5 values.

**SIRT1 assay with native p53 peptide monitored by HPLC.** The SIRT1 reaction was carried out under the SIRT1 standard reaction conditions as described above with 1 µM native p53-peptide [Ac-Lys-Lys-Gly-Gln-Ser-Thr-Ser-Arg-His-Lys-(Ac-Lys)-Leu-Met-Phe-Lys-Thr-Glu-Gly-NH2] (Native Peptide 3 in Table S1) and 2.5 nM SIRT1 at RT for 30 min, yielding ~10% conversion of substrate to product, allowing for the accurate measurement of ~10-fold activation. Reactions were quenched and processed the same as with the TAMRA-p53 peptide. The separation of acetylated peptide substrate and deacetylated peptide product peaks was achieved using the same column, buffers, and parameters described with the TAMRA-p53 peptide, except that the gradient was altered to: 0-20% over 15 min, followed by rapid ramp up to 100% B to wash and then 100% A to re-equilibrate the column. The retention times of the deacetylated and acetylated peptides were ~ 10.8 min and 11.7 min, respectively. Calculation of percent activation was carried out as described with TAMRA-p53 peptide. The $K_m$ values for native-p53 peptide and NAD$^+$ were obtained by fitting the plots of rate versus substrate concentration to the Michaelis-Menten equation using KaleidaGraph. To test the effect of TAMRA on SIRT1 activity by putative activators, the same SIRT1 reactions were run with or without 1, 10, and 100 µM 2,3-TAMRA, each in the presence and absence of compounds.

**SIRT1 assay with native full-length Ac-Lys382-p53 substrate monitored by ELISA and Western blot analysis.** The SIRT1 assay mixture (50 µl) contained 150 mM Tris-acetate, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 150 µM NAD$^+$, 1 mM DTT, 0.014% Triton X-100, 3% DMSO, 100 nM Ac-Lys382-p53, and 10 nM SIRT1. The reactions were carried out in 96-well round bottom polypropylene plates (Corning, Lowell, MA). Putative activators were pre-incubated with SIRT1 at RT for 15 min and the reactions were initiated by NAD$^+$ treatment. The reaction mixtures were incubated at RT for 1 hr and the reactions were stopped by addition of EX-527 to a final concentration of 100 µM. SIRT1 activity was measured by quantifying the levels of Ac-p53 peptide by ELISA. The ELISA was carried out in a black maxisorp 96-well plate (Nunc, Rochester, NY) pre-coated with 0.2 µg of mouse anti-p53 antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) by overnight incubation at 4°C. Nonspecific protein binding was then blocked by Block Solution (StartingBlock T20-PBS, Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) for 30 min. Typically, the SIRT1 reaction mixtures were diluted 10-fold in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, and 100 µM EX-527. Aliquots (5 µl) of diluted SIRT1 mixture were placed in each well containing 95 µl of the above buffer in the precoated plates and incubated for 2 hr at 4 °C. After washing the plates with Wash Buffer (PBST 0.1% Tween-20, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), the plates were incubated with 100 µl of either 1:500 acetyl-Lys382-p53 antibody (Cell Signaling, Beverly, MA) or 1:1000 rabbit anti-p53 (FL-393) antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) in Block Solution for 1 hr at RT. After an additional washing with Wash Buffer, the plates were incubated with 100 µl of 1:10000 goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP conjugate (Jackson Labs, West Grove, PA) in Block Solution for 1 hr at RT. After a final washing with Wash Buffer, 100 µl of SuperSignal ELISA pico chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Scientific) was added to the plate for development. The plates were read using a Victor$^2$ 1420 Multilabel Plate Reader (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA) under luminescence setting. The standard curves for ELISA were linear at 1-30 ng of Ac-p53 and total p53. Western blot analyses were conducted using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska) with Ac-Lys382-p53 and total p53 detected on the same blot by using 1:1000 mouse anti-p53 antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) and 1:1000 rabbit anti-acetyl-Lys382-p53
antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The secondary antibodies used were 1:5000 IR700 goat anti-mouse and 1:5000 IR800 goat anti-rabbit (LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska). SIRT1 reactions were performed and quenched as described in the ELISA procedure, and 5 µl of the reaction mixture was loaded in each well of SDS-PAGE. Quantification of total p53 levels were used to normalize the reactions for SIRT1 activity.

The Km measurements of SIRT1 for p53 were performed using Western and ELISA assays by varying concentrations of p53 from 50 to 850 nM (maximal concentration available).

SIRT1 assay with Ac-AceCS1 substrate monitored by HPLC. In the first step of the coupled enzyme reaction, the SIRT1 reaction was carried out using standard reaction conditions as described above with 150 nM Ac-AceCS1 and 10 nM SIRT1 at RT for 30 min, yielding ~10% conversion of substrate to active AceCS1 product. This allowed for the accurate measurement of ~10-fold activation. Reactions were quenched by nicotinamide to a final concentration of 5 mM. In the second step of the coupled reaction, saturating concentrations of AceCS1 substrates (2.5 mM ATP, 2 mM NaOAc, and 2 mM coenzyme A) were added. The AceCS1 reactions were incubated for 60 min, quenched by TFA to a final concentration of 2%, centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 10 min, and then transferred to vials for HPLC analysis. Separation of AMP from ATP and other substrates and products was achieved using a Supelcosil LC-18-T (3 µm, 150 x 4.6 mm) column with accompanying guard. Mobile phase A was 100 mM NH4OAc pH 5.0, while mobile phase B was 80% A + 20% methanol. The following gradient program was used: 0% B for 10 min, 0-100% for 5 min, followed by wash and then 100% A to re-equilibrate the column. Flow rate was kept constant at 1.0 ml/min, column temperature was kept at 25ºC, and UV detection was set at 260 nm. The retention times of ATP and AMP were ~4 min and ~ 8 min, respectively. Chromatograms were analyzed, peak area converted to molar concentration of AMP using an AMP standard curve, and then converted to total amount of active enzyme AceCS1 product turned over by SIRT1 using the standard curves mentioned above. The average rate of conversion of SIRT1 samples run in the absence of compound was compared to those in the presence of compound to establish percent activation values. The final concentrations of putative activators tested were 1, 3, 10 and 30 µM. EX-527 was tested at 100 µM.

For the measurement of the Km value for Ac-AceCS1 with SIRT1, the SIRT1 reactions were performed by varying concentrations of Ac-AceCS1 at 50-750 nM (maximal concentration available).

NMR binding studies of SRT1460 to p53-derived peptide substrates. NMR chemical shift perturbation of the peptide substrates was used to monitor the molecular interaction of SRT1460 with the p53-derived peptide substrates in the absence of SIRT1 enzyme. NMR binding experiments were carried out with peptide substrates which included the TAMRA-p53 peptide (TAMRA Peptide 1 in Table S1) and an additional pair of peptides with identical amino acid sequence and differing only in the TAMRA group, [Ac-Glu-Glu-Lys-Gly-Gln-Ser-Thr-Thr-His-Ser-(Ac-Lys)-Nle-Ser-Thr-Glu-Gly-Lys(TAMRA)-Glu-Glu-NH2] and [Ac-Glu-Glu-Lys-Gly-Gln-Ser-Thr-Ser-Ser-His-Ser-(Ac-Lys)-Nle-Ser-Thr-Gly-Lys-Glu-Glu-NH2] (TAMRA Peptide 2 and Native Peptide 2 in Table S1). 1H NMR spectra were recorded on a 600 MHz Bruker DRX spectrometer equipped with a TXI cryoprobe at 25 ºC. Data sets were the average of 128 scans. All the NMR spectra were collected in the presence of 10 µM peptide substrates, 50 µM SRT1460, 200 µM NAD+ and 1% DMSO-d6 in 25 mM Tris-d11, pH 8.0, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, and 99.9% D2O.

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) binding studies of SRT1720 and SRT1460 to p53-derived peptide substrates. SPR experiments were performed in a Biacore 3000 instrument (GE Healthcare). Two p53-derived peptides used in this study have identical amino acid sequence and differ only in the TAMRA group, TAMRA Peptide 1 (Table S1) and [(Biotin–PEG3)-Ser-Thr-Ser-Ser-His-Ser-(Ac-Lys)–Nle-Ser-Thr-Glu-Gly-Cys-Glu-Glu-NH2] (Native Peptide 1 in Table S1). The peptides were captured onto a neutravidin surface prepared through standard amine coupling to a CM5 sensor (GE Healthcare) at levels of 220 response units (Ru) for the native peptide and 240 Ru for the TAMRA-peptide. SRT1720 or SRT1460 was injected over the surfaces from 3 to
50 μM. All data were collected in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 137 mM NaCl, 3.7 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM DTT, 0.05% P20, and 1% DMSO. Data were processed in Scrubber 2 (BioLogic Software) to zero, x-align, and double reference the sensorgrams. Equilibrium binding parameters were obtained from global fits of the data to a saturation binding model in Scrubber (BioLogic Software).

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) binding studies of SRT1460 to SIRT1-peptide substrate complex. ITC experiments were performed in a running buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 137 mM NaCl, 3.7 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM TCEP, and 5% glycerol. The SIRT1 concentration was 41 μM in the cell. The TAMRA-p53 peptide or native p53 peptide (TAMRA Peptide 1 and Native Peptide 3 in Table S1) was dissolved in running buffer and added to SIRT1 to a final concentration of 1 mM. SRT1460 was dissolved in running buffer at 0.84 mM and used as the ligand. All titrations were performed in a Microcal VP-ITC (GE Healthcare) at 25 °C. The data were fit to a simple 1:1 interaction model in Origin ITC.

In vivo study. Ob/ob mice (7-8 weeks old) were sourced from Charles River Laboratories and group housed 4 per cage on a 12:12 light:dark cycle with free access to food and water. Mice were acclimated for 1 week on a high fat diet (HFD) (60% calories from fat, RD12492, Research Diets) or chow (Purina 5015) for one week prior to the study. After acclimation, mice were randomized based on glucose and bodyweight to one of four groups, Chow Vehicle Control, HFD Vehicle Control, HFD + SRT1720 30 mg/kg, and HFD + SRT1720 100 mg/kg. Mice were dosed once daily by oral gavage for 18 days with compound or vehicle [2% hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) + 0.2% dioctylsulfosuccinate sodium salt (DOSS)] and daily body weight and food consumption was recorded. Blood glucose was monitored on day 0, 4 and 10 by tail snip and glucometer (LifeScan One Touch Ultra Glucose Meter) while on days 1, 7 and 14 plasma glucose, triglycerides, cholesterol and free fatty acids were analyzed on a Roche Hitachi 912 Chemistry analyzer. Plasma insulin was measure via ELISA (Mouse Ultrasensitive Insulin Kit, Alpco). Plasma exposure of compound was determined via pharmacokinetic assessment on a group of satellite mice (n=6 per dose level) at 1, 2, 4, 7 and 24 hr post dose on day 1 and 12 to determine steady state plasma concentrations. On day 13, food was removed at 4 pm and mice were fasted 16 hr overnight before bleeding via retroorbital sinus at t = -60, 0, 15, 30 and 120 min post glucose load (1g/kg, p.o.). Mice were re-fed after the oral glucose tolerance test and allowed to recover while dosing continued until the necropsy was performed 2 hr post dose on day 18.

RESULTS

SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol lead to apparent activation of SIRT1 with the fluorogenic TAMRA-p53 peptide substrate. In order to confirm activation of SIRT1 deacetylating activity by putative SIRT1 activators reported by Milne et al. (26), we have established an HPLC method which separates deacetylated TAMRA-p53 peptide product from the acetylated substrate. This method allows an assessment of SIRT1 activity by directly measuring the product peptide and therefore avoids potential artifacts associated with indirect detection such as in the fluorescence assays. Figure 2A shows an increase of the deacetylated peptide with concomitant decrease of the acetylated TAMRA-p53 peptide as the SIRT1 reaction progresses. Figure 2B shows that the SIRT1 time course remains linear for up to 45 min at 2.5-10 nM SIRT1 with up to 35% conversion of the acetylated TAMRA-p53 peptide substrate. For the assessment of potential SIRT1 activation by putative activators, reaction conditions were chosen where substrate conversion was approximately 5-10% which allowed for the measurement of a maximal SIRT1 activation window of 10-20-fold. The SIRT1 assay was carried out under the conditions similar to those reported (26) for direct comparison of activation and the results obtained with putative SIRT1 activators are shown in Figure 2C. The maximal activation percentages of SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol were 741, 285, 434, and 239%, respectively, with the concentration of compound required to increase enzyme activity by 50% (EC₁₀₀ values) ranging from 0.32 μM for SRT1720 to 31.6 μM for resveratrol. These values were remarkably similar to those reported using the MS assay (26) despite some differences in the
peptide substrates and SIRT1 reaction conditions used (TAMRA Peptide 1 and pH 7.4 in the present study vs. TAMRA Peptide 2 and pH 8.0 in the reported study). These results confirmed that the Sirtris series and resveratrol indeed activate SIRT1 deacetylating activity with the TAMRA-p53 peptide substrate.

SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol do not activate SIRT1 with native p53 peptide substrate. We next assessed SIRT1 activation by the same set of compounds using a native p53 peptide substrate containing the 18 amino acids flanking the native acetylation site (Lys382), but lacking the TAMRA fluorophore (Native Peptide 3 in Table S1). In order to establish the assay conditions to assess the activation with a maximum activation window, we first determined the K_m value for the p53 peptide to be 4.5 ± 0.17 μM by the HPLC method as described in “Experimental Procedures.” The SIRT1 K_m for NAD⁺ was similarly determined by HPLC, and was found to be 94 ± 5 μM. The Michaelis-Menten plots for the measurement of K_m values for both native p53 peptide and NAD⁺ are shown in Figure S1. The measurement of the SIRT1 K_m for the 18-mer peptide was important in the design of our assay. The mechanism of activation of the SIRT1 modulators has been shown to occur by decreasing the K_m of SIRT1 for the acetylated peptide substrate without affecting the V_max (26). Thus, in order to ensure that compound activation was not overlooked, the final assay concentration of the peptide substrate was carried out at 1 μM, well below the measured K_m which allowed for a maximum assay window for assessing activation (32,33). As shown in Figure 3A and B, a linear time course using a separation of acetylated peptide substrate and deacetylated peptide product by HPLC was established as discussed in the previous section.

The Sirtris series and resveratrol were assessed at 30 and 100 μM. The SIRT1 inhibitor EX-527 (34) was also evaluated. As shown in Figure 3C, EX-527 at 100 μM completely inhibited the SIRT1 reaction as expected. The putative SIRT1 activators showed no activation of SIRT1. For SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol, the relative activity of SIRT1 activity remained within ~15% of those in the DMSO control reactions. SRT1720, which was tested only at 30 μM, exhibited ~40% inhibition of SIRT1 activity. These results raised the possibility that the SIRT1 activation observed with the fluorophore containing-peptide was dependent on the presence of the TAMRA fluorophore. To investigate this, we repeated these experiments with the native p53 peptide in the presence or absence of varying concentrations of TAMRA fluorophore at up to 100 μM, but did not observe any activation (data not shown). This result is in agreement with previous reports which postulated that the SIRT1 activation by resveratrol requires the covalent attachment of the fluorophore to the p53 peptide (28,29). It has been proposed that resveratrol binding to SIRT1 induces a conformational change that promotes tighter binding of the fluorophore, thus effectively reducing the K_m of the peptide substrate (28).

SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol have little or no effect on SIRT1 deacetylating activity with native full-length substrates. Previously, Borra et al. proposed that SIRT1 activation by resveratrol with a coumarin labeled peptide is the result of a resveratrol-induced conformational change near the coumarin binding site in SIRT1. The conformational change creates a binding pocket for the coumarin group resulting in enhanced binding of the coumarin labeled peptide (28). Taking this hypothesis into account and considering the above data demonstrating that activation of SIRT1 was detected only in the presence of TAMRA-containing peptide, we have further hypothesized that the fluorophore may mimic a hydrophobic residue or pocket found on native, full length acetylated substrate that promotes higher affinity for SIRT1 upon binding of putative activators. All previous studies on the assessment of SIRT1 activators were performed with p53-derived peptides of various lengths. Small peptide versus full length protein substrates for enzymes could potentially have different binding pockets and enzyme inhibitors are known to have distinct potency for peptide versus full length protein substrates. Therefore, we next assessed potential activation of SIRT1 using native full-length substrates. For this purpose, we chose two full length acetylated substrates, Ac-p53 and acetylated acetyl-CoA synthetase1 (Ac-AceCS1). The p53 protein substrate was chosen because it is the most-extensively studied SIRT1 substrate and all previous studies on SIRT1 activators were
performed using p53-derived peptides. Ac-AceCS1, recently shown to be a physiological substrate for SIRT1, is an ideal substrate for biochemical assays due to its single site acetylation (35). Studies by Hallows et al. have demonstrated that the regulation of the AceCS activity by the Sir2 homolog initially shown in *Salmonella enterica* is also conserved in mammals (2,35). It was shown that mammalian AceCSs are regulated by reversible acetylation and that sirtuins activate AceCSs by deacetylation. SIRT1 was the only member of seven human Sir2 homologues capable of deacetylating AceCS1 in cellular coexpression studies. In this mechanism, AceCS1 acetylated at Lys661 is enzymatically inactive, but upon its deacetylation by SIRT1, the enzyme becomes active, converting acetate, ATP and coenzyme A into AMP, PPi, and acetyl-CoA, a key metabolite which is fed into fatty acid biosynthesis (35) (Scheme 1). The role of SIRT1 in this pathway has further demonstrated the role of SIRT1 in the regulation of acetate metabolism (36).

Scheme 1

In order to assess p53 as a substrate, SIRT1 reactions were carried out with purified full length Ac-Lys382-p53 (SDS-PAGE shown in Figure S2) and SIRT1 activity was determined by ELISA that quantifies the levels of Ac-Lys382-p53 and total p53 (both acetylated and deacetylated p53) as described in “Experimental Procedures.” As with the peptide substrates, initial experiments were focused on the measurement of the $K_m$ value for Ac-Lys382-p53 in order to ensure that all assays were performed under conditions that would allow for an optimal assay window for observing SIRT1 activation. As shown in Figure 4A, the $K_m$ value for Ac-Lys382-p53 was estimated to be greater than 850 nM as the SIRT1 activity with increasing concentrations of Ac-Lys382-p53 was linear up through the maximal concentration of Ac-Lys382-p53 available for testing. To our knowledge, this is the first reported estimation of the $K_m$ value of the native full-length substrate Ac-Lys382-p53 for SIRT1. The Sirtris series and resveratrol were assessed at 30 μM with the Ac-Lys382-p53 substrate concentration at 100 nM, well below the estimated $K_m$ value, and the SIRT1 reaction time course was linear under the assay conditions (data not shown). As shown in Figure 4B, none of the putative activators exhibited SIRT1 activation as the SIRT1 activity remained within ~10% of the control for all four compounds. As expected, the SIRT1 inhibitor EX-527 at 30 μM showed a near complete inhibition of SIRT1 activity. Total p53 levels remained the same in the presence of either putative activators or inhibitor EX-527 compared to the DMSO control, confirming the reliability of the assay by ELISA (Figure 4B).

For more direct visualization of substrates in the assay, we also utilized Western blot analysis using two separate antibodies, one specific for total p53 and another specific for Ac-Lys382-p53. A decrease in the levels of Ac-Lys382-p53 were observed at increasing concentrations of SIRT1 (Figure 4C) and the reaction was linear for 60 min at 5-25 nM SIRT1 (data not shown). In the presence of the SIRT1 inhibitor EX-527 at 30 μM, the levels of Ac-Lys382-p53 were the same as those in the absence of SIRT1 (Figure 4C). Total p53 levels remained the same at all concentrations of SIRT1 either in the presence or absence of EX-527 (Figure 4C). Next we confirmed the $K_m$ value for Ac-Lys382-p53, which yielded a linear dose response curve up to 850 nM Ac-Lys382-p53 (Figure 4A), is in complete agreement with the data obtained by ELISA. The Sirtris series and resveratrol were assessed at 30 μM, again exhibiting no activation while EX-527 showed SIRT1 inhibition as expected (Figure 4D). Subsequent to the detection of Ac-Lys382-p53, analysis of the same blot for total p53 confirmed that the same amount of total p53 was present in each sample analyzed and was used to normalize the results. These results were consistent with those generated by ELISA and no activation of SIRT1 by the Sirtris compounds was observed. As with the native peptide, we investigated whether activation might be observed in the presence of added fluorescent TAMRA fluorophore at up to 100 μM, and similarly no activation was observed (data not shown).

For the assessment of SIRT1 putative activators with the more metabolically relevant native substrate Ac-AceCS1, we took advantage of
the knowledge that Ac-AceCS1 and AceCS1 are enzymatically inactive and active, respectively, as previously shown (35). We developed a discontinuous coupled enzyme assay in which SIRT1 reactions were carried out first with its functionally inactive substrate Ac-Lys661-AceCS1. The reaction is quenched, either with nicotinamide or EX-527, and then the substrates (acetate, CoA, and ATP) for active AceCS1 (the SIRT1 product) are then added to saturating conditions relative to the previously reported K_m values (37,38). This allowed the measurement of AceCS1 activity under its saturating conditions. In the second step, AceCS1 reactions are quenched and the AMP product was separated and quantified by HPLC using the conditions described in “Experimental Procedures.” As shown in Figure 5A, the HPLC conditions established separated AMP from all components of the SIRT1 and AceCS1 reactions to allow accurate quantification of AMP. The amount of quantified AMP was used for the calculation of the precise amount of active AceCS1 product converted by SIRT1 using a calibrated standard curve. For the standard curve generation, the time courses for active AceCS1 at 10-500 nM were generated. As shown in Figure 5B, AceCS1 reactions were linear for up to 60 min with 10-500 nM AceCS1.

As the K_m value of SIRT1 for Ac-AceCS1 has not been previously reported, we varied the concentration of Ac-AceCS1 through the highest concentration possible in our assay. The observed linear increase in the rate of AMP formation indicated that the K_m of SIRT for Ac-AceCS1 was greater than 750 nM (Figure 5C).

We next investigated the effect of the Sirtris series and resveratrol on the modulation of SIRT1 activity with the Ac-AceCS1 at 150 nM, well below the K_m value. While EX-527 ablated SIRT1 activity, all four compounds had little or no effect on the SIRT1 activity at 1, 3, 10 and 30 μM (Data shown for 10 and 30 μM). As with experiments on the native peptide (Figure 3), SIRT1 activity in the presence of 30 μM SRT1720 was inhibited by ~35% (Figure 5D).

NMR and surface plasmon resonance (SPR) binding studies demonstrate that SRT1460 or SRT1720 interacts with TAMRA-peptide while it has little or no interaction with native peptide. We next assessed whether the SIRT1 activation, observed with the Sirtris series only in the presence of TAMRA-containing peptide substrate, is due to a direct interaction of the compounds with the TAMRA containing substrate. Perturbation of the NMR chemical shift is a commonly used method to detect intermolecular binding interactions which can alter the electronic environment of a NMR-observable nuclei. In this study, the proton chemical shift perturbation of the lysine acetyl (CH_3) group in the peptide substrates was evaluated as a probe to monitor the molecular interaction of a representative Sirtris compound, SRT1460, with the p53 peptide substrates in the absence of the SIRT1 enzyme. The lysine acetyl (CH_3) resonance at 1.78 ppm of the TAMRA-p53 peptide (TAMRA Peptide 1 in Table S1), which is the peptide substrate used in our biochemical assay discussed above, showed a clear upfield chemical shift upon addition of SRT1460 (Figure 6A), which could indicate a direct interaction of SRT1460 with the peptide. In order to determine the requirement of the TAMRA group for an inter-molecular interaction between the peptide substrate and SRT1460, a pair of p53-derived peptides with identical amino acid sequences and differing only in the TAMRA group were assessed (TAMRA Peptide 2 and Native Peptide 2 in Table S1). These peptide substrates were chosen for this study because the TAMRA Peptide 2 is the identical peptide used in the FP and MS assays by Sirtris (26). As in the case with the TAMRA Peptide 1, the TAMRA Peptide 2 also showed a similar upfield shift of the acetyl CH_3 resonance at 1.78 ppm upon addition of SRT1460 as shown in Figure 6B. However, the Native Peptide 2 (Table S1) does not show any shift of its acetyl (CH_3) resonance at 1.83 ppm upon addition of SRT1460 (Figure 6C). Since the two peptides differ only in the TAMRA group, the observed upfield shift in the acetyl (CH_3) resonance (Figure 6B) is likely to arise from a direct binding interaction of SRT1460 with the TAMRA containing peptides. An attempt to verify these results with SRT1720 was made; however, limited solubility of SRT1720 prohibited these studies under NMR experimental conditions.

In order to further validate the interaction between TAMRA-containing peptide substrates and SRT1460 by NMR, SPR methods were developed to evaluate potential binding between TAMRA containing peptides and SRT1460. The SPR signals were found to be highly dependent on the concentration of the TAMRA containing peptide substrate and SRT1460. The SPR results are consistent with the NMR data and demonstrate a direct interaction between SRT1460 and TAMRA containing peptide substrates.
ligand and peptide substrates in the absence of the SIRT1 enzyme. Figures S3A and B show the SPR response data for a series of SRT1720 injections from 3 to 50 µM over the TAMRA Peptide 1 and the Native Peptide 1 that have identical amino acid sequences and differ only in the TAMRA group (Table S1). Concentration-dependent binding was observed only with the TAMRA containing peptide but not with the native peptide, which showed only the baseline responses. Due to its weak binding, a full saturation curve could not be generated and therefore the $K_D$ value for SRT1720 to the binding of the TAMRA Peptide 2 could not be accurately estimated. A similar interaction with the TAMRA Peptide 2 was also observed for SRT1460 in SPR but the binding affinity was much weaker (data not shown). Despite the lack of saturation in the SPR binding experiments, these data do confirm a clear dose-dependent interaction of SRT1720 with the TAMRA peptide in agreement with those observed by NMR for SRT1460.

Isothermal calorimetry studies (ITC) demonstrate that SRT1460 binds to the SIRT1-TAMRA-peptide substrate complex but not to the SIRT1-native peptide substrate complex. Finally, ITC was used to define the binding energetics of compounds to the SIRT1-peptide substrate complex under the conditions previously described (26). Figure 7 shows representative binding isotherms for SRT1460 injected into the SIRT1-peptide substrate complex. Examination of the titrations reveals that SRT1460 demonstrates a clear binding isotherm with the SIRT1-TAMRA-p53 peptide complex (Figure 7A) but no isotherm was observed with the SIRT1-native p53 peptide complex (Figure 7B). The $K_D$ value for SRT1460 was found to be 13 µM, similar to the 16.2 µM previously reported (26). However, the enthalpy observed with this titration is significantly reduced compared to that reported previously (26). In these experiments, the binding affinity is principally entropically driven with an enthalpy of only -0.992 kcal/mol compared to the reported value of -6.1 kcal/mol. This difference could be due to a shorter construct of SIRT1 used in the previous study (versus full length SIRT1 in the present study) as well as a slightly different TAMRA peptide substrate sequence [TAMRA Peptide 1 in the present study versus TAMRA Peptide 2 in the previous study (26)]. However, the requirement of a TAMRA group to generate a binding isotherm to the SIRT1-peptide substrate complex is completely consistent with the direct interactions between SRT1460 and TAMRA-containing peptides observed in NMR and SPR.

SRT1720 neither lowers plasma glucose nor improves mitochondrial capacity in high fat fed ob/ob mice. Despite a lack of evidence for the Sirtris series of compounds as direct SIRT1 activators, we investigated whether the in vivo efficacy demonstrated by SRT1720 in several rodent models of diabetes (26) could be validated and attributed to indirect activation of SIRT1. We therefore attempted to reproduce the in vivo efficacy for SRT1720 in mouse models of type 2 diabetes previously shown (26). Ob/ob mice (7-8 weeks old) were placed on a high fat diet (HFD) and dosed with SRT1720 at 30 and 100 mg/kg, p.o., once daily for 18 days. Bodyweight and food intake were monitored daily and fed glucose levels were measured every 3-4 days. It became clear early on in the study that the mice were not tolerating the 100 mg/kg SRT1720 dose resulting in the death of 3 out of 8 mice at day 7, 10, and 13, respectively. The remaining mice in this group showed reduced food intake and bodyweight gain (Figure 8A and B) and thus the data obtained at the end of 18 days could not be easily interpreted. The 30 mg/kg dose group tolerated the dosing schedule but showed increased food intake and weight gain compared to HFD controls as shown in Figure 8A and B. These data did not agree with the reported data where no change in bodyweight was reported for the ob/ob mice study on a high fat diet dosed with 100 mg/kg daily dosing of SRT1720 for 1 week (26) (Food intake was not assessed in the reported study). Furthermore, the 30 mg/kg group had no effect on any glucose parameters [fed, fasting or area under the curve (AUC) during an oral glucose tolerance test] although reductions in fasting insulin were observed. In order to ensure that the lack of efficacy at 30 mg/kg was not due to lower exposure in our study, we measured the exposure from plasma and determined the average steady state $C_{max}$ and AUC values of 1.3 µM and 8110 ng/hr/ml, respectively, for the 30 mg/kg dosing group, which were similar to those reported for the 100 mg/kg dose group (26). Reported changes in citrate synthase activity in the DIO mice study treated with SRT1720 (26) prompted us to look for
evidence of changes in mitochondrial biogenesis in these chronically dosed ob/ob mice at 30 mg/kg. Cytochrome c oxidase and citrate synthase activity were measured in multiple tissues but the data did not show any evidence to suggest enhanced mitochondrial function. Overall, our present study showed that 30 mg/kg dose had no effect on glycemic control despite exposures that matched those previously reported (26), but instead showed increased food intake and weight gain compared to HFD controls. The high dose of 100 mg/kg was not well tolerated and resulted in reduced weight gain, reduced food intake, and death.

**SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol interact with multiple unrelated targets.** Selectivity assessment is critical to determine whether or not any compound can serve as a potential pharmacological tool or drug. Based on our data above demonstrating that the Sirtris series and resveratrol do not directly interact with SIRT1, we have assessed the in vitro selectivity of these compounds at 10 µM against a broad panel of over 100 targets including receptors, enzymes, ion channels, and transporters (Table S2). The results shown in Figure 9 and Table S3 illustrate that these compounds are highly promiscuous. SRT1720, SRT2183, and SRT1460 at 10 µM exhibited >50% inhibition of 38, 14, and 20 targets, respectively, out of over 100 targets assessed. Resveratrol appeared less promiscuous but still showed >50% inhibition of 7 targets at 10 µM (Table S3). It is not surprising that the Sirtris series have similar profiles as they are structurally related. The same 22 targets were inhibited by two out of the three Sirtris compounds by >50%. All three Sirtris compounds exhibited >50% inhibition of 4 targets (adenosine A3 receptor, urotensin receptor (GPR14), phosphodiesterase 2, and norepinephrine transporter). Only 1 target, norepinephrine transporter, was inhibited by all three Sirtris compounds and resveratrol by >50% demonstrating that the Sirtris series and resveratrol have different target selectivity profiles. (Table S3). These data demonstrate that neither the Sirtris series nor resveratrol would serve as useful pharmacological tools due to their highly promiscuous profiles.

**DISCUSSION**

In this report, we have focused on assessment of the purported SIRT1 activators SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol by employing SIRT1 assays that allow direct quantification and detection in order to avoid any potential artifacts frequently associated with indirect detection methods such as in a fluorescence assay. To achieve a maximum activation assay window, we determined the K_m values for each assay condition and carefully established all in vitro SIRT1 assays at peptide/protein substrate concentrations well below the K_m values (32,33). Furthermore, putative activators were assessed under the SIRT1 assay conditions where controls (-activator) have only 5-10% of substrate conversion to product, which allowed a large measurable 10-20-fold activation assay window. We have confirmed that the Sirtris compounds and resveratrol activate SIRT1 deacetylating activity with TAMRA-peptide substrate by direct quantification of deacetylated product by HPLC. By similar direct quantification of deacetylated peptide product converted from the native p53 peptide by SIRT1, we demonstrated that the SIRT1 activation by these compounds require the presence of the fluorophore covalently attached to the peptide substrate as no activation was observed with native peptide lacking the fluorophore, or with native peptide in the presence of TAMRA. Borra et al. previously proposed that SIRT1 activation by resveratrol with a coumarin labeled peptide is the result of a resveratrol induced conformational change near the coumarin binding site in SIRT1, which creates a binding pocket for the coumarin group resulting in enhanced binding of the coumarin labeled peptide (28). Based on this proposal and our present results showing that the SIRT1 activation is observed only in the presence of the fluorophore covalently attached to the peptide substrate as no activation was observed with native peptide lacking the fluorophore, or with native peptide in the presence of TAMRA. Borra et al. previously proposed that SIRT1 activation by resveratrol with a coumarin labeled peptide is the result of a resveratrol induced conformational change near the coumarin binding site in SIRT1, which creates a binding pocket for the coumarin group resulting in enhanced binding of the coumarin labeled peptide (28). Based on this proposal and our present results showing that the SIRT1 activation is observed only in the presence of the fluorophore containing peptide substrate, we further hypothesized that the fluorophore may mimic a hydrophobic residue/pocket found on native, full length substrate that promotes higher affinity for SIRT1 upon binding to activators. Toward this goal, we assessed two native full length substrates, p53 and AceCS1. We have developed highly sensitive quantification/detection methods such as ELISA/Western blot and HPLC, which enabled the use of full length Ac-p53 and Ac-AceCS1 substrates, respectively. To our knowledge, this is the first report of the assessment of SIRT1 activators in SIRT1 enzyme...
assays using native full length substrates. Our results show that the Sirtris series of compounds and resveratrol have little or no effect on SIRT1 activity even with these two full length protein substrates. In order to further assess a potential involvement of the fluorophore in the interaction with compounds, we have utilized NMR and SPR binding interaction studies. These studies demonstrated that SRT1460 and SRT1720 can interact directly with the TAMRA-peptide substrates with micromolar affinities even in the absence of SIRT1. Likewise in the presence of SIRT1, the ITC study demonstrated that the binding of SRT1460 is observed only in the presence of the fluorophore containing peptide substrate.

We assessed in vivo efficacy of SRT1720 in high fat fed ob/ob mice. In contrast to the data reported (26), we did not observe a decrease in plasma glucose at the dose where the plasma SRT1720 exposure is comparable to that reported. Instead we observed increased food intake and weight gain in mice treated with SRT1720 compared to HFD controls. Finally we have assessed a broad selectivity of these activators against over 100 targets and showed that these compounds are highly promiscuous as they interact with multiple unrelated targets. While this manuscript was in preparation, Beher et al. also described that resveratrol does not activate SIRT1 activity with native p53 peptide substrate (39), which is in complete agreement with our results and those previously published (28,29).

In summary, our detailed assessment of the Sirtris series and resveratrol involving several biochemical assays with native substrates and biophysical studies employing NMR, SPR, and ITC demonstrate that these compounds are not direct SIRT1 activators. We also demonstrated that SRT1720 does not show beneficial effects in a rodent diabetes model, which is in contrast to that previously reported (26). The broad selectivity assessment against over 100 targets including receptors, enzymes, ion channels, and transporters show that the Sirtris series and resveratrol are highly promiscuous and would not serve as useful pharmacological tools for studying SIRT1 pathways. In the literature, resveratrol has been widely referred to as a “SIRT1 activator” (For selected recent references, see 40-44) and routinely used to “activate” SIRT1 in various cellular assays, with only a few questioning the original study that reported its ability to activate SIRT1 in an artificial substrate-based fluorescent assay (28,29,39,45). Likewise, the Sirtris compounds have been referred to as “SIRT1 activators” in recent publications (46-48). Our present data are significant for the field as we provided strong evidence that neither the Sirtris series nor resveratrol are direct SIRT1 activators.
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FIGURE LEGENDS
Figure 1. Structures of putative SIRT1 activators, SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol.

Figure 2. Effects of SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol on SIRT1 deacetylating activity with TAMRA-p53 peptide substrate (TAMRA Peptide 1 in Table S1) determined by HPLC. (A) Time course of SIRT1 reaction showing an increase in the deacetylated peptide product and a decrease in the acetylated peptide substrate. SIRT1 reactions were carried out with 10 nM SIRT1 under the conditions described in “Experimental Procedures.” (B) Time course of SIRT1 reactions at 2.5, 5, and 10 nM SIRT1 is shown as % conversion of TAMRA-p53 peptide substrate versus time. (C) Dose response curves of SIRT1 activation by the Sirtris series and resveratrol.

Figure 3. Effects of SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol on SIRT1 deacetylating activity with native p53 peptide substrate (Native Peptide 3 in Table S1) determined by HPLC. (A) Time course of SIRT1 reaction showing an increase in the deacetylated peptide product and a decrease in the acetylated peptide substrate. SIRT1 reactions were carried out with 5 nM SIRT1 under the conditions described in “Experimental Procedures.” (B) Time course of SIRT1 reactions shown as % conversion of native peptide substrate versus time. (C) Effects of the indicated compounds on SIRT1 activity.

Figure 4. Effects of SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol on SIRT1 deacetylating activity with purified native full length Ac-p53 substrate. After the SIRT1 reactions, the remaining Ac-p53 and total p53 were quantified by ELISA or Western blot analysis as described in “Experimental Procedures.” (A) SIRT1 activity is linear with increasing concentrations of Ac-p53 at up to 850 nM demonstrating that the K_m value for Ac-p53 is greater than 850 nM. (B) Effects of the indicated compounds at 30 μM on SIRT1 activity on Ac-p53 and total p53 as quantified by ELISA. (C) Deacetylation of Ac-p53 increases with increasing concentrations of SIRT1 while the total p53 quantity is unaffected. (D) Effects of the indicated compounds at 30 μM on SIRT1 activity with Ac-p53 substrate by Western blot. The levels of Ac-p53 were corrected for total p53 loaded onto each lane.

Figure 5. SIRT1 reaction with full length Ac-AceCS1 substrate. SIRT1 reactions were monitored by measuring AceCS1 activity as described in “Experimental Procedures.” (A) Separation of the components for the SIRT1 and AceCS1 reactions (1 nmole of ATP, ADPR, AMP, nicotinamide, NAD, CoA, and acetyl-CoA each.) by HPLC. Low extinction coefficient of nicotinamide results in lower relative peak area, although quantification of nicotinamide was accomplished at higher concentrations with high reproducibility. (B) Time course of AceCS1 reactions were carried out under the conditions described in “Experimental Procedures” at AceCS1 concentrations of 10-500 nM. The HPLC chromatogram is shown for 150 nM AceCS1. (C) SIRT1 activity is linear with increasing concentrations of Ac-AceCS1 at up to 750 nM demonstrating that the K_m value for Ac-AceCS1 is greater than 750 nM. (D) Effects of SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol on SIRT1 activity with Ac-AceCS1 substrate.

Figure 6. NMR chemical shift perturbation studies of SRT1460 with p53-derived peptide substrates in the absence of SIRT1. (A) 1H NMR spectrum of 10 μM of the TAMRA Peptide 1 (Table S1) in the presence (red) or absence (blue) of 50 μM SRT1460. (B) 1H NMR spectrum of 10 μM of TAMRA Peptide 2 in the presence (red) or absence (blue) of 50 μM SRT1460. (C) 1H NMR spectrum of 10 μM of the Native Peptide 2 in the presence (red) or absence (blue) of 50 μM SRT1460. Arrows indicate the upfield shift of the acetyl (CH_3) signal at 1.78 ppm in (A) and (B) (blue) upon addition of 50 μM SRT1460 (red), whereas the acetyl (CH_3) signal at 1.83 ppm in (C) showed no shift (blue and red) upon addition of 50 μM SRT1460. The amino acid sequence of the TAMRA Peptide 2 and the Native Peptide 2 are identical and differ only in the TAMRA group (Table S1).
Figure 7. ITC titrations of SIRT1-peptide substrate complexes with SRT1460. Top panels: Binding isotherm for titrations of SRT1460 to the SIRT1-TAMRA Peptide 1 complex (A) and the SIRT1-Native Peptide 3 complex (B). Bottom panels: integrated fit with a one-site binding model. The amino acid sequence of the TAMRA Peptide 1 and the Native Peptide 3 are shown in Table S1.

Figure 8. Effect of chronic dosing of SRT1720 on (A) bodyweight and (B) food intake in ob/ob mice fed a high fat diet. Ob/ob mice were placed on a HFD (60% calories from fat) for 1 week before being dosed with SRT1720 at the indicated doses once daily by oral gavage. Food intake per cage and individual bodyweights were recorded daily over 13 days of treatment. Graph represents mean ± sem for all groups (n=8 for chow and HFD control groups and SRT1720 at 30 mg/kg groups; SRT1720 at 100 mg/kg group had n=8 on day 1 which had dropped to n=5 by day 13). * p<0.05 compared to HFD control by two-way ANOVA and student’s t-test.

Figure 9. Selectivity profiles of SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol. All four compounds were tested at 10 μM against over 100 targets listed in Supplementary Table 1. A range of 0-100% inhibition is shown in colored scale.
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The figure displays a heatmap colored by % inhibition. The categories on the x-axis are SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and Resveratrol. The y-axis represents the percentage inhibition, ranging from 0 to 100. The colors indicate the level of inhibition, with green representing lower inhibition and red representing higher inhibition.